

Physics 445

Problem Set 3 solutions

Eduard Antonyan

1 Supersymmetry

(i) Let us look at the variation of each term:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta(\phi^* \partial^2 \phi) &= \sqrt{2}(\bar{\psi} \bar{\zeta} \partial^2 \phi + \phi^* \zeta \partial^2 \psi) \\
 \delta(-|\lambda|^2 |\phi|^4) &= -2^{3/2} |\lambda|^2 (|\phi|^2 \zeta \psi \phi^* + |\phi|^2 \phi \bar{\psi} \bar{\zeta}) \\
 \delta(-\lambda \psi \psi \phi) &= -i 2^{3/2} \lambda^* (\zeta \sigma^\mu \bar{\psi}) (\partial_\mu \phi^*) \phi^* + 2^{3/2} |\lambda|^2 \bar{\zeta} \bar{\psi} \phi |\phi|^2 \\
 \delta(-i \psi \sigma^\mu (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})) &= -\sqrt{2} [\zeta (\partial^2 \psi) \phi^* + \bar{\psi} \bar{\zeta} (\partial^2 \phi)] - 2^{3/2} i [\lambda \bar{\zeta} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi (\partial_\mu \phi) \phi + \lambda^* (\bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \zeta) (\partial_\mu \phi^*) \phi^*] + \text{total derivative}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Combining all together we see that the Lagrangian remains invariant up to a total derivative.

(ii) Let us expand the Lagrangian around a classical background $\phi = \phi_0 + \delta\phi$ and keeping terms which are at most quadratic in fluctuations. The correction to the Lagrangian is then given by

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \delta\Phi^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 - 2|\lambda|^2 |\phi|^2 & -|\lambda|^2 \phi^2 \\ -|\lambda|^2 \phi^{*2} & \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 - 2|\lambda|^2 |\phi|^2 \end{pmatrix} \delta\Phi = \delta\Phi^\dagger M_\phi \delta\Phi \tag{2}$$

where

$$\delta\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \delta\phi \\ \delta\phi^* \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

Doing a similar expansion for the fermions we find

$$M_\psi = -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu & -2i\lambda^* \phi^* \\ -2i\lambda \phi & \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

The one-loop correction to the effective action is then given by

$$\Gamma_{corr} = \log \det M_\psi - \frac{1}{2} \log \det M_\phi \tag{5}$$

The determinants are pretty easy to compute in the 1-loop approximation

$$\log \det M_\phi = \log \det \left(-\frac{1}{4} \partial^2 - |\lambda|^2 |\phi|^2 \right) \approx -8 \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{\partial^2} |\lambda|^2 |\phi|^2 \right) \tag{6}$$

Where we used $\log \det = \text{tr} \log$ and expanded to first order in λ^2 . Doing the same for the fermionic determinant we find that Γ_{corr} is actually zero, so to first order the β -function is zero.

2 Scalar particle decay

The amplitude of a scalar particle decaying into two photons is given by

$$iM = -i8A \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} p_\mu p'_\lambda \epsilon_\nu^* \epsilon_\rho^* \tag{7}$$

Squaring and summing over final state photon polarizations

$$\sum |M|^2 = -128A^2 (p^2 p'^2 - (p \cdot p')^2) = 128A^2 (p \cdot p')^2 \tag{8}$$

since the photon is massless. Using energy-momentum conservation $p \cdot p' = m^2/2$, so that $\sum |M|^2 = 32A^2m^4$ and the tree-level decay rate is

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\Omega_{cm}}{4\pi} \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{2|\vec{p}|}{E_{cm}} \sum |M|^2 = \frac{A^2m^3}{\pi} \quad (9)$$

(in the center of mass frame $2|\vec{p}| = E_{cm}$).

3 Fun with decays

(a)

$$\frac{1}{2}(j^{\mu 1} + ij^{\mu 2} - j^{\mu 5 1} - ij^{\mu 5 2}) = \bar{Q}\gamma^\mu \frac{1-\gamma^5}{2}\tau^1 Q + i\bar{Q}\gamma^\mu \frac{1-\gamma^5}{2}\tau^2 Q = \bar{Q}_L\gamma^\mu(\tau^1 + \tau^2)Q_L = \bar{u}_L\gamma^\mu d_L \quad (10)$$

At tree level the decay amplitude is

$$\begin{aligned} -iM(2\pi)^4\delta^4(p-k-q) &= \langle l^+\nu | i \int d^4x \Delta L | \pi^+ \rangle = \frac{4iG_F}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^4x \langle l^+\nu | (\bar{l}_L\gamma^\mu\nu_L)(\bar{u}_L\gamma^\mu d_L) + h.c. | \pi^+ \rangle \\ &= \frac{4iG_F}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^4x \bar{u}_L^s(k)\gamma^\mu v_L^s(q) \langle 0 | \bar{d}_L\gamma^\mu u_L | \pi^+ \rangle e^{ikx} e^{iqx} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Because $j^{\mu a}$ is a vector and is parity invariant, while $|\pi^+\rangle$ is a pseudo scalar and is parity odd, so that $\langle 0 | j^{\mu a} | \pi^+ \rangle$. Using the identity above then

$$\langle 0 | \bar{d}_L\gamma^\mu u_L | \pi^+ \rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle 0 | j_5^+ | \pi \rangle = ip_\mu f_\pi e^{-ipx} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (12)$$

Combining together we have

$$iM = G_F f_\pi \bar{u}(q) \not{p} (1 - \gamma_5) v(k) \quad (13)$$

(b) From the amplitude calculated in the first part we have the decay rate

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2m_\pi} \int \frac{d\Omega_{cm}}{4\pi} \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{2|\vec{k}|}{E_{cm}} \sum |M|^2 = \frac{m_\pi m_l^2}{4\pi} (G_F f_\pi)^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{m_\pi^2}\right) \quad (14)$$

where we used $\frac{2|\vec{k}|}{E_{cm}} = 1 - \frac{m_l^2}{m_\pi^2}$. We see that the decay rate goes to zero in the limit of zero lepton mass and

$$\frac{\Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+\nu)}{\Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+\nu)} = \frac{m_e^2 (1 - m_e^2/m_\pi^2)^2}{m_\mu^2 (1 - m_\mu^2/m_\pi^2)^2} \approx 10^{-4} \quad (15)$$

Plugging in the measured lifetime, pion and muon masses and G_F we find that $f_\pi \approx 90$ MeV.

4 Oh no! It's two loop time

(a) The coupling constant satisfies the renormalization group equation

$$\frac{d}{d(\log(Q/M))} g = \beta(g) = -\frac{b_0}{(4\pi)^2} g^3 - \frac{b_1}{(4\pi)^4} g^5 - \frac{b_2}{(4\pi)^6} g^7 + \dots \quad (16)$$

Changing variables to $y = g^2$, $x = 2 \log \frac{Q}{\Lambda}$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -C_0 y^2 - C_1 y^3 + \dots \quad (17)$$

where Λ is a new scale, $C_0 = b_0/(4\pi)^2$ and $C_1 = b_1/(4\pi)^4$. Assuming an initial condition $y(Q = M) = y_0$, to lowest order the solution is

$$y = \frac{y_0}{2C_0 y_0 \log \frac{Q}{M} + 1} \quad (18)$$

Noting that $\alpha_s = y/4\pi$ and $\alpha_s(Q = M) \equiv \alpha = y_0/4\pi$ and setting Λ to satisfy $1 = y_0(b_0/8\pi^2) \log(M/\Lambda)$ we can rewrite the one loop result as

$$\alpha_s = \frac{4\pi}{b_0 \log \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}} \quad (19)$$

We can also solve the differential equation with y^3 term if we assume that y is small and solve it iteratively. With the above definitions we then get the desired result

$$\alpha_s = \frac{4\pi}{b_0} \left[\frac{1}{\log \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}} - \frac{b_1}{b_0^2} \frac{\log \log \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}}{\left(\log \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^2} \right] \quad (20)$$

(b) The perturbation series for the e^+e^- annihilation cross section is

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \left(3 \sum_f Q_f^2 \right) \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} + a_2 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^2 + O(\alpha_s^3) \right] \quad (21)$$

Plugging in α_s from part (a) we get

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \left(3 \sum_f Q_f^2 \right) \left[1 + \frac{4}{b_0} \frac{1}{\log \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}} + \dots \right] \quad (22)$$

We see that the first two terms are then independent of the renormalization prescription (but then we knew this more generally from last quarter).